

**To:** Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA[]; aren Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA[]  
**Cc:** []  
**From:** CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US  
**Sent:** Tue 6/26/2012 7:05:52 PM  
**Subject:** Fw: Update of today meeting with Wehr, Leady, Meral,Cowin (UNCLASSIFIED)

\*\*\*\*\*

Erin Foresman  
Environmental Scientist & Policy Coordinator,  
US EPA Region 9 C/O National Marine Fisheries Service  
650 Capitol Mall Suite 5-100, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 930 3722

<http://www.epa.gov/sfbaydelta>

I work a part time schedule (M 7:30a - 4:00p, T - F 7:30 - 2:00p)

----- Forwarded by Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US on 06/26/2012 12:05 PM -----

**From:** "Nepstad, Michael G SPK" <Michael.G.Nepstad@usace.army.mil>  
**To:** Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA,  
**Date:** 06/26/2012 07:46 AM  
**Subject:** FW: Update of today meeting with Wehr, Leady, Meral,Cowin (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
Caveats: NONE

FYI

Michael G. Nepstad  
Deputy Chief, Regulatory Division  
US Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District  
1325 J Street, Room 1350  
Sacramento, California 95814-2922  
(916) 557-7262 Fax:(916) 930-9506  
[michael.g.nepstad@usace.army.mil](mailto:michael.g.nepstad@usace.army.mil)

\* We want your feedback! Take the survey:  
<http://per2.nwp.usace.army.mil/survey.html>

\* Need information on the Regulatory Program? Visit our website:  
<http://www.spk.usace.army.mil/organizations/cespk-co/regulatory/index.html>

\* Facebook: [www.facebook.com/sacramentodistrict](http://www.facebook.com/sacramentodistrict)

\* YouTube: [www.youtube.com/sacramentodistrict](http://www.youtube.com/sacramentodistrict)

\* Twitter: [www.twitter.com/USACESacramento](http://www.twitter.com/USACESacramento)

-----Original Message-----

From: Toland, Tanis J SPK  
Sent: Monday, June 25, 2012 4:11 PM  
To: Nepstad, Michael G SPK  
Subject: RE: Update of today meeting with Wehr, Leady, Meral,Cowin

Hi Mike. Thank you very much for this update. I look forward to hearing more...and the nuances. Good thing the Env Impact analysis has helped to inform "the decision." During the BDCP public meeting last week an environmental stakeholder reminded Mr. Meral that the 5 intake/15,000 cfs option was not the baseline against which the 3 intake/"9,000 cfs" options is compared. It was a good observation since the discourse seems to focus on how much better, cheaper, etc. the 3 intake/"9,000 cfs" option is as compared to the 5 intake/15,000 cfs options, rather than how either of these compare with the baseline.

Sounds like the deal is done.

-----Original Message-----

From: Nepstad, Michael G SPK  
Sent: Monday, June 25, 2012 4:03 PM  
To: Jewell, Michael S SPK; Toland, Tanis J SPK; Dadey, Kathleen A SPK; Turner, Claire Marie SPK  
Subject: Update of today meeting with Wehr, Leady, Meral,Cowin

Meeting in SPD between Corps, DWR et al had following high points:  
Dwr very happy with 404 10 408;  
Gov brown wants construction of intakes and tunnel in 2015, not 2017; we will work out schedule to see if at all possible; dwr still thing ROD will be done in 9 months or less; Paul R. Will coordinate more with SPK; dwr feels three things very important: BDCP, Yolo bypass/ fremont weir, and a new south delta bypass on paradise cut vicinity.  
First we ever heard south delta bypass as anything being seriously considered.

-----  
Message sent via my BlackBerry Wireless Device

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
Caveats: NONE